

# Approximate groups and their applications: part 3

E. Breuillard

Université Paris-Sud, Orsay

St. Andrews, August 3-10, 2013

# Expander graphs

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $k$ -regular connected finite graph with  $N$  vertices. The Laplacian on  $\mathcal{G}$  is a non-negative symmetric operator on the space of functions on the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{G}$  defined by

$$\Delta f(x) := f(x) - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{y \sim x} f(y)$$

Here  $y \sim x$  means that  $y$  is a neighbor of the vertex  $x$  (i.e. they are connected by an edge).

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $k$ -regular connected finite graph with  $N$  vertices. The Laplacian on  $\mathcal{G}$  is a non-negative symmetric operator on the space of functions on the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{G}$  defined by

$$\Delta f(x) := f(x) - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{y \sim x} f(y)$$

Here  $y \sim x$  means that  $y$  is a neighbor of the vertex  $x$  (i.e. they are connected by an edge).

## Definition (Spectrum)

The spectrum of  $\mathcal{G}$  is the set of eigenvalues of  $\Delta$ . We order them as

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_N \leq 2$$

## Definition

The graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be a  $\varepsilon$ -expander if

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{G}) > \varepsilon$$

# Expander graphs

## Definition

The graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be a  $\varepsilon$ -expander if

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{G}) > \varepsilon$$

There is also an equivalent definition in terms of isoperimetry. Let  $h(\mathcal{G})$  be the largest constant  $h > 0$  such that for every subset  $A$  of vertices of  $\mathcal{G}$  of size  $< \frac{N}{2}$ ,

$$|\partial A| > h|A|$$

where  $\partial A$  is the boundary of  $A$  (= edges connecting a point in  $A$  to a point outside  $A$ ).

# Expander graphs

## Definition

The graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be a  $\varepsilon$ -expander if

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{G}) > \varepsilon$$

There is also an equivalent definition in terms of isoperimetry. Let  $h(\mathcal{G})$  be the largest constant  $h > 0$  such that for every subset  $A$  of vertices of  $\mathcal{G}$  of size  $< \frac{N}{2}$ ,

$$|\partial A| > h|A|$$

where  $\partial A$  is the boundary of  $A$  (= edges connecting a point in  $A$  to a point outside  $A$ ).

## Lemma (Cheeger-Buser)

One has

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 \leq \frac{1}{k}h(\mathcal{G}) \leq \sqrt{2\lambda_1}$$

# Expander Cayley graphs

A sequence of  $k$ -regular graphs with  $N_i := |\mathcal{G}_i|$  going to  $\infty$  is called a *family of expanders* if there is a uniform  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{G}_i) > \varepsilon$  for all  $i$ .

# Expander Cayley graphs

A sequence of  $k$ -regular graphs with  $N_i := |\mathcal{G}_i|$  going to  $\infty$  is called a *family of expanders* if there is a uniform  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{G}_i) > \varepsilon$  for all  $i$ .

Margulis (1972) gave the first construction of a family expanders: using representation theory and Kazhdan's property (T), he showed that the family of Cayley graphs of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  with respect to a fixed generating set of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  is a family of expanders.



# Expander Cayley graphs

A sequence of  $k$ -regular graphs with  $N_i := |\mathcal{G}_i|$  going to  $\infty$  is called a *family of expanders* if there is a uniform  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{G}_i) > \varepsilon$  for all  $i$ .

Margulis (1972) gave the first construction of a family expanders: using representation theory and Kazhdan's property (T), he showed that the family of Cayley graphs of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  with respect to a fixed generating set of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  is a family of expanders.

Lubotzky and others (in particular Lubotzky-Phillips-Sarnak) have refined and pushed Margulis method to other groups (e.g. arithmetic subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ ). They also asked the following question:

**Question:** Which finite groups can be turned into expanders ? Namely given an infinite family of finite groups, can one find a generating set of bounded size with respect to which the associated Cayley graphs form a family of expanders ?

Solvable groups are not expanders:

## Theorem (Lubotzky-Weiss)

*Given  $k, \ell > 0$ , if  $G_i$  is any family of  $k$ -generated finite solvable groups with derived length  $\leq \ell$ , then  $\lambda_1(G_i)$  tends to 0 as  $|G_i|$  tends to  $+\infty$ .*

# Results of Kassabov–Lubotzky–Nikolov

Solvable groups are not expanders:

## Theorem (Lubotzky-Weiss)

*Given  $k, \ell > 0$ , if  $G_i$  is any family of  $k$ -generated finite solvable groups with derived length  $\leq \ell$ , then  $\lambda_1(G_i)$  tends to 0 as  $|G_i|$  tends to  $+\infty$ .*

But it is expected that simple groups are:

## Theorem (Kassabov-Lubotzky-Nikolov)

*There is  $k > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that every\* finite simple group has a generating set of size  $k$  w.r.t which the associated Cayley graph is an  $\varepsilon$ -expander.*

*every\** : with the exception of the family of Suzuki groups; now this family can be included in the theorem (work of B-Green-Tao).

# Random walk characterisation of expanders

Yet another way to understand the expander property is in terms of fast equidistribution of random walks.

# Random walk characterisation of expanders

Yet another way to understand the expander property is in terms of fast equidistribution of random walks.

Suppose  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Cayley graph of a finite group  $G$  with (symmetric) generating set  $S$  of size  $k$ . Let

$$\mu := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{s \in S} \delta_s$$

be the uniform probability measure on  $S$  ( $\delta_s$  is the Dirac mass at  $s$ ).

# Random walk characterisation of expanders

Yet another way to understand the expander property is in terms of fast equidistribution of random walks.

Suppose  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Cayley graph of a finite group  $G$  with (symmetric) generating set  $S$  of size  $k$ . Let

$$\mu := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{s \in S} \delta_s$$

be the uniform probability measure on  $S$  ( $\delta_s$  is the Dirac mass at  $s$ ).

The convolution of two measures  $\mu, \nu$  on a group  $G$  is the image of the product measure  $\mu \otimes \nu$  under the product map  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ .

$$\mu * \nu(x) := \sum_{y \in G} \mu(xy) \nu(y^{-1})$$

# Random walk characterisation of expanders

Then the  $n$ -th convolution power

$$\mu^{*n} := \mu * \dots * \mu$$

represents the probability distribution of the nearest neighbor random walk on the Cayley graph  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Note that as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , the random walk becomes equidistributed in  $G$ , i.e.  $\mu^{*n}(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{|G|}$  for every  $x \in G$ .

We fix the size  $k$  of the generating set.

# Random walk characterisation of expanders

Then the  $n$ -th convolution power

$$\mu^{*n} := \mu * \dots * \mu$$

represents the probability distribution of the nearest neighbor random walk on the Cayley graph  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Note that as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , the random walk becomes equidistributed in  $G$ , i.e.  $\mu^{*n}(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{|G|}$  for every  $x \in G$ .

We fix the size  $k$  of the generating set.

## Lemma (Rapid mixing definition of expanders)

*The Cayley graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -expander if and only if the random walk becomes well equidistribution already in less than  $C_\varepsilon \log |G|$  steps, namely:*

$$\sup_{x \in G} \left| \mu^{*n}(x) - \frac{1}{|G|} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|G|^{10}}$$

*for all  $n \geq C_\varepsilon \log |G|$ . ( $C_\varepsilon \simeq \varepsilon^{-1}$ ).*



# The Bourgain-Gamburd method

In 2005, Bourgain and Gamburd came up with a new (more analytic) method for proving that certain Cayley graphs are expanders.

# The Bourgain-Gamburd method

In 2005, Bourgain and Gamburd came up with a new (more analytic) method for proving that certain Cayley graphs are expanders.

Their idea is based on the above random walk characterisation of the expander property: we will prove fast equidistribution directly, then deduce the expander property (i.e. the lower bound on  $\lambda_1$ ).

# The Bourgain-Gamburd method

In 2005, Bourgain and Gamburd came up with a new (more analytic) method for proving that certain Cayley graphs are expanders.

Their idea is based on the above random walk characterisation of the expander property: we will prove fast equidistribution directly, then deduce the expander property (i.e. the lower bound on  $\lambda_1$ ).

One (of several) key ingredients in their method are the [approximate subgroups](#), or rather the absence of non-trivial approximate subgroups of  $G$  (which as we saw last time is a feature of bounded rank finite simple groups).

# The Bourgain-Gamburd method

In 2005, Bourgain and Gamburd came up with a new (more analytic) method for proving that certain Cayley graphs are expanders.

Their idea is based on the above random walk characterisation of the expander property: we will prove fast equidistribution directly, then deduce the expander property (i.e. the lower bound on  $\lambda_1$ ).

One (of several) key ingredients in their method are the [approximate subgroups](#), or rather the absence of non-trivial approximate subgroups of  $G$  (which as we saw last time is a feature of bounded rank finite simple groups).

## Theorem (Bourgain-Gamburd 2005)

*Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $k$ -regular Cayley graph of  $G := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  ( $p$  prime). Assume that the girth of  $\mathcal{G}$  is at least  $\tau \log p$ . Then  $\exists \varepsilon(\tau) > 0$  s.t.*

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{G}) > \varepsilon.$$

# Other expander results based on the Bourgain-Gamburd method

Their theorem has since been generalized in some (but not yet all) directions. Here are some recent results proved using the Bourgain-Gamburd method:

## Theorem (B.-Green-Guralnick-Tao: Random pairs in $\mathbf{G}(q)$ )

*There is  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(r) > 0$  such that every finite simple group  $G$  of rank  $\leq r$  has a pair of generators whose associated Cayley graph is an  $\varepsilon$ -expander.*

*In fact almost every pair works, i.e. the number of possible exceptions is at most  $|G|^{2-\eta}$  for some  $\eta = \eta(r) > 0$ .*

**Remark:** This includes the family of Suzuki groups  $\text{Suz}(2^{2n+1})$ , thus completing the missing bit in the theorem of Kassabov, Lubotzky and Nikolov.

# Other expander results based on the Bourgain-Gamburd method

## Theorem (B.-Gamburd: Uniformity in $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ )

*There is a set of primes  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of density one among all primes such that every  $k$ -generated Cayley graph of  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$ , is an  $\varepsilon_k$ -expander for some  $\varepsilon_k > 0$ .*

In fact one can conjecture the following strong uniformity:

## Conjecture (Uniformity conjecture)

*There is  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(k, r) > 0$  such that every  $k$ -generated Cayley graph of a finite simple group of rank at most  $r$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -expander.*

# Other expander results based on the Bourgain-Gamburd method

## Theorem (B.-Gamburd: Uniformity in $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ )

*There is a set of primes  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of density one among all primes such that every  $k$ -generated Cayley graph of  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$ , is an  $\varepsilon_k$ -expander for some  $\varepsilon_k > 0$ .*

In fact one can conjecture the following strong uniformity:

## Conjecture (Uniformity conjecture)

*There is  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(k, r) > 0$  such that every  $k$ -generated Cayley graph of a finite simple group of rank at most  $r$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -expander.*

**Remark.** Both the BGGT and the BG results above can be seen as evidence towards this conjecture. This would also imply the uniform logarithmic diameter conjecture mentioned last time.

# Other expander results based on the Bourgain-Gamburd method

## Theorem (super-strong-approximation)

*Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a semisimple algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$  is a finitely generated Zariski-dense subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then the reduction mod  $p$  map  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  is surjective in restriction to  $\Gamma$  if the prime  $p$  is large enough and the associated Cayley graphs form a family of expanders.*



# Other expander results based on the Bourgain-Gamburd method

## Theorem (super-strong-approximation)

*Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a semisimple algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$  is a finitely generated Zariski-dense subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then the reduction mod  $p$  map  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  is surjective in restriction to  $\Gamma$  if the prime  $p$  is large enough and the associated Cayley graphs form a family of expanders.*

One can also consider reduction modulo a square-free or even arbitrary integer  $n$  (instead of the prime  $p$ ). One has:

## Theorem (Bourgain-Varju)

*Suppose  $S \leq \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$  is a finite symmetric set generating a Zariski-dense subgroup, then the Cayley graphs  $\mathcal{G}_n$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  with respect to  $S$  form a family of expanders as  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  grows.*

# The Bourgain-Gamburd method

The lower bound on  $\lambda_1$  in the Bourgain-Gamburd method is achieved by proving the fast equidistribution of the random walk. This is done in three stages:

- ① Initial stage ( $n \leq c_1 \log |G|$ ). One needs to prove exponential non-concentration of  $\mu^{*n}$  on proper subgroups  $H$ , i.e.:

$$\sup_{H \subsetneq G} \mu^{*n}(H) \leq \frac{1}{|G|^\delta}$$

- ② Middle stage ( $c_1 \log |G| \leq n \leq c_2 \log |G|$ ). One needs to prove sub-exponential decay of  $\mu^{*n}$ , i.e. the following  **$\ell^2$ -flattening**

$$\mu^{*2n}(1) \leq (\mu^{*n}(1))^{1+\varepsilon}$$

(this step uses the classification of approximate groups)

- ③ Final stage ( $n \geq c_2 \log |G|$ ). From  $\mu^{*n}(1) \leq \frac{1}{|G|^{1-\delta}}$ , one uses “quasirandomness” (i.e. good lower bounds on the dimension of irreducible reps. of  $G$ ) to get the spectral gap.

# More applications: the Lubotzky-Meiri group sieve method

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group. Say that  $g \in \Gamma$  is a *proper power* if  $\exists m \geq 2$  and  $h \in \Gamma$  such that

$$g = h^m.$$

# More applications: the Lubotzky-Meiri group sieve method

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group. Say that  $g \in \Gamma$  is a *proper power* if  $\exists m \geq 2$  and  $h \in \Gamma$  such that

$$g = h^m.$$

Let  $\Gamma^m$  denote the set of  $m$ -powers, and  $\Gamma^{\geq 2} := \bigcup_{m \geq 2} \Gamma^m$  the set of proper powers.

# More applications: the Lubotzky-Meiri group sieve method

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group. Say that  $g \in \Gamma$  is a *proper power* if  $\exists m \geq 2$  and  $h \in \Gamma$  such that

$$g = h^m.$$

Let  $\Gamma^m$  denote the set of  $m$ -powers, and  $\Gamma^{\geq 2} := \cup_{m \geq 2} \Gamma^m$  the set of proper powers.

How large can the set of proper powers  $\Gamma^{\geq 2}$  be ?

# More applications: the Lubotzky-Meiri group sieve method

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group. Say that  $g \in \Gamma$  is a *proper power* if  $\exists m \geq 2$  and  $h \in \Gamma$  such that

$$g = h^m.$$

Let  $\Gamma^m$  denote the set of  $m$ -powers, and  $\Gamma^{\geq 2} := \bigcup_{m \geq 2} \Gamma^m$  the set of proper powers.

How large can the set of proper powers  $\Gamma^{\geq 2}$  be ?

It depends on the group. For example:

- if  $\Gamma$  is finite, then  $\Gamma^{\geq 2} = \Gamma$ ,
- if  $\Gamma$  is a f.g. infinite torsion  $p$ -group (e.g. a Golod-Shafarevich group), then  $\Gamma = \Gamma^m$  if  $\gcd(p, m) = 1$ ,
- Malcev showed that if  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent, then for every  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\Gamma^m$  contains a finite index subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .

## More applications: the Lubotzky-Meiri group sieve method

In 1996, Hrushovski-Kropholler-Lubotzky-Shalev proved that if  $\Gamma$  is linear and non virtually solvable, then for all finite  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma$  is not a finite union of translates of  $\cup_{2 \leq m \leq n} \Gamma^m$ .

# More applications: the Lubotzky-Meiri group sieve method

In 1996, Hrushovski-Kropholler-Lubotzky-Shalev proved that if  $\Gamma$  is linear and non virtually solvable, then for all finite  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma$  is not a finite union of translates of  $\cup_{2 \leq m \leq n} \Gamma^m$ .

Thanks to the recent progress on approximate groups and expanders we now know:

## Theorem (Lubotzky-Meiri 2012)

*If  $\Gamma$  is linear and non virtually solvable, then  $\Gamma$  is not a finite union of translates of  $\Gamma^{\geq 2}$ . In fact  $\Gamma^{\geq 2}$  is **exponentially small**, meaning that if  $\mu$  is the uniform probability measure on a generating set of  $\Gamma$ , then*

$$\mu^n(\Gamma^{\geq 2})$$

*decays to 0 exponentially fast as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .*



# The group sieve method

For simplicity assume that  $\Gamma \leqslant SL_d(\mathbb{Z})$  is Zariski-dense.

## Lemma

*Every proper algebraic subvariety  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $SL_d$  is exponentially small, i.e.  $\mu^n(\mathcal{V})$  decays exponentially fast.*

# The group sieve method

For simplicity assume that  $\Gamma \leqslant SL_d(\mathbb{Z})$  is Zariski-dense.

## Lemma

*Every proper algebraic subvariety  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $SL_d$  is exponentially small, i.e.  $\mu^n(\mathcal{V})$  decays exponentially fast.*

Proof: reduce mod  $p$  and use the super-strong-approximation theorem (i.e. that  $\Gamma \bmod p$  are expanders hence  $\mu^n$  has fast equidistribution).

# The group sieve method

For simplicity assume that  $\Gamma \leqslant \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$  is Zariski-dense.

## Lemma

*Every proper algebraic subvariety  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_d$  is exponentially small, i.e.  $\mu^n(\mathcal{V})$  decays exponentially fast.*

Proof: reduce mod  $p$  and use the super-strong-approximation theorem (i.e. that  $\Gamma \bmod p$  are expanders hence  $\mu^n$  has fast equidistribution).

## Lemma (group sieve)

*Let  $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$  as above and  $\Gamma_p := \Gamma \cap \ker(\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}))$ . Let  $Z \subset \Gamma$  be such that there is  $c > 0$  such that for some increasing sequence of primes  $p_j$  with  $p_j \leqslant j^c$ ,*

$$|Z\Gamma_{p_j}/\Gamma_{p_j}| < (1 - c)|\Gamma/\Gamma_{p_j}|.$$

*Then  $Z$  is exponentially small, i.e.  $\mu^n(Z)$  decays exponentially fast.*

# The group sieve method

The proof of the group sieve lemma relies on the following elementary fact from probability theory:

## Lemma (2nd moment method)

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_L$  be events such that for some  $c > 0$

- $\mathbb{P}(A_j) < 1 - c$  and
- $\forall j, j', |\mathbb{P}(A_j \cap A_{j'}) - \mathbb{P}(A_j)\mathbb{P}(A_{j'})| < \Delta,$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\cap_{j=1}^L A_j) \leq \frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{1}{L} + \Delta \right)$$