

On groups with all subgroups subnormal or soluble of bounded derived length

Antonio Tortora
(joint work with K. Ersoy and M. Tota)

Università degli Studi di Salerno
Dipartimento di Matematica

“Groups St Andrews 2013”

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be *subnormal* if H is a term of a finite series of G , i.e. if there exists distinct subgroups $H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}, H_n$ such that

$$H = H_0 \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft H_{n-1} \triangleleft H_n = G.$$

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be *subnormal* if H is a term of a finite series of G , i.e. if there exists distinct subgroups $H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}, H_n$ such that

$$H = H_0 \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft H_{n-1} \triangleleft H_n = G.$$

If H is subnormal in G , then the *defect* of H in G is the shortest length of such a series.

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be *subnormal* if H is a term of a finite series of G , i.e. if there exists distinct subgroups $H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}, H_n$ such that

$$H = H_0 \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft H_{n-1} \triangleleft H_n = G.$$

If H is subnormal in G , then the *defect* of H in G is the shortest length of such a series.

In a nilpotent group of class c every subgroup is subnormal of defect at most c .

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be *subnormal* if H is a term of a finite series of G , i.e. if there exists distinct subgroups $H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}, H_n$ such that

$$H = H_0 \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft H_{n-1} \triangleleft H_n = G.$$

If H is subnormal in G , then the *defect* of H in G is the shortest length of such a series.

In a nilpotent group of class c every subgroup is subnormal of defect at most c .

Question

Is a group with all subgroups subnormal nilpotent?

Dedekind 1897, Baer 1933

All the subgroups of a group G are normal if and only if G is abelian or the direct product of a quaternion group of order 8, an elementary abelian 2-group and an abelian group with all its elements of odd order.

Dedekind 1897, Baer 1933

All the subgroups of a group G are normal if and only if G is abelian or the direct product of a quaternion group of order 8, an elementary abelian 2-group and an abelian group with all its elements of odd order.

Roseblade, 1965

Let G be a group in which every subgroup is subnormal of defect at most $n \geq 1$. Then G is nilpotent of class bounded by a function depending only on n .

Heineken and Mohamed, 1968

There exists infinite metabelian p -groups that have trivial centre but all proper subgroups subnormal and nilpotent.

Heineken and Mohamed, 1968

There exists infinite metabelian p -groups that have trivial centre but all proper subgroups subnormal and nilpotent.

A group G is of *Heineken-Mohamed type* if G is not nilpotent and all of its proper subgroups are subnormal and nilpotent.

Heineken and Mohamed, 1968

There exists infinite metabelian p -groups that have trivial centre but all proper subgroups subnormal and nilpotent.

A group G is of *Heineken-Mohamed type* if G is not nilpotent and all of its proper subgroups are subnormal and nilpotent.

- Hartley (1973) obtained Heineken-Mohamed groups as subgroups of $C_p \wr C_p^\infty$;

Heineken and Mohamed, 1968

There exists infinite metabelian p -groups that have trivial centre but all proper subgroups subnormal and nilpotent.

A group G is of *Heineken-Mohamed type* if G is not nilpotent and all of its proper subgroups are subnormal and nilpotent.

- Hartley (1973) obtained Heineken-Mohamed groups as subgroups of $C_p \wr C_p^\infty$;
- Menegazzo (1995) gave examples of soluble Heineken-Mohamed p -groups of arbitrary derived length;

Heineken and Mohamed, 1968

There exists infinite metabelian p -groups that have trivial centre but all proper subgroups subnormal and nilpotent.

A group G is of *Heineken-Mohamed type* if G is not nilpotent and all of its proper subgroups are subnormal and nilpotent.

- Hartley (1973) obtained Heineken-Mohamed groups as subgroups of C_p wr C_p^∞ ;
- Menegazzo (1995) gave examples of soluble Heineken-Mohamed p -groups of arbitrary derived length;
- Smith (1983, 2001) found non-nilpotent hypercentral groups with all subgroups subnormal

Heineken and Mohamed, 1968

There exists infinite metabelian p -groups that have trivial centre but all proper subgroups subnormal and nilpotent.

A group G is of *Heineken-Mohamed type* if G is not nilpotent and all of its proper subgroups are subnormal and nilpotent.

- Hartley (1973) obtained Heineken-Mohamed groups as subgroups of C_p wr C_p^∞ ;
- Menegazzo (1995) gave examples of soluble Heineken-Mohamed p -groups of arbitrary derived length;
- Smith (1983, 2001) found non-nilpotent hypercentral groups with all subgroups subnormal: these groups have elements of infinite order.

Baer, 1955

If every cyclic subgroup of a group is subnormal, then every finitely generated subgroup is subnormal and nilpotent.

Baer, 1955

If every cyclic subgroup of a group is subnormal, then every finitely generated subgroup is subnormal and nilpotent.

Möhres, 1990

A group with all subgroups subnormal is soluble.

Baer, 1955

If every cyclic subgroup of a group is subnormal, then every finitely generated subgroup is subnormal and nilpotent.

Möhres, 1990

A group with all subgroups subnormal is soluble.

It is enough to deal with the case of a p -group and the case of a torsion-free group.

Baer, 1955

If every cyclic subgroup of a group is subnormal, then every finitely generated subgroup is subnormal and nilpotent.

Möhres, 1990

A group with all subgroups subnormal is soluble.

It is enough to deal with the case of a p -group and the case of a torsion-free group.

Casolo 2001, Smith 2001

A torsion-free group with all subgroups subnormal is nilpotent.

Möhres, 1990

A group with all subgroups subnormal is soluble.

Möhres, 1990

A group with all subgroups subnormal is soluble.

Asar, 2000

A locally finite group with all proper subgroups nilpotent is soluble.

Möhres, 1990

A group with all subgroups subnormal is soluble.

Asar, 2000

A locally finite group with all proper subgroups nilpotent is soluble.

Smith 2001

Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group with all subgroups subnormal or nilpotent. Then G is soluble.

Möhres, 1990

A group with all subgroups subnormal is soluble.

Asar, 2000

A locally finite group with all proper subgroups nilpotent is soluble.

Smith 2001

Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group with all subgroups subnormal or nilpotent. Then G is soluble. Moreover, if G is torsion-free, then it is nilpotent.

Smith 2001

Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that, for some $n \geq 1$, every non-nilpotent subgroup of G is subnormal of defect at most n in G . Then G is soluble. Moreover, if G is torsion-free, then it is nilpotent.

A group is *locally graded* if every non-trivial finitely generated subgroup has a non-trivial finite quotient, e.g. locally (soluble-by-finite) groups and residually finite groups.

Smith 2001

Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that, for some $n \geq 1$, every non-nilpotent subgroup of G is subnormal of defect at most n in G . Then G is soluble. Moreover, if G is torsion-free, then it is nilpotent.

A group is *locally graded* if every non-trivial finitely generated subgroup has a non-trivial finite quotient, e.g. locally (soluble-by-finite) groups and residually finite groups.

Smith 2001

Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that, for some $n \geq 1$, every non-nilpotent subgroup of G is subnormal of defect at most n in G . Then G is soluble. Moreover, if G is torsion-free, then it is nilpotent.

This restriction is made in order to avoid *Tarski groups*, i.e. infinite 2-generator simple groups with all proper non-trivial subgroups of prime order.

A new problem

Study locally graded groups with all subgroups subnormal or soluble.

A new problem

Study locally graded groups with all subgroups subnormal or soluble.

A *minimal simple group* is a non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups soluble.

A new problem

Study locally graded groups with all subgroups subnormal or soluble.

A *minimal simple group* is a non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups soluble.

Thompson, 1968

Every finite minimal simple group is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (i) $PSL(2, 2^p)$, where p is any prime;

A new problem

Study locally graded groups with all subgroups subnormal or soluble.

A *minimal simple group* is a non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups soluble.

Thompson, 1968

Every finite minimal simple group is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (i) $PSL(2, 2^p)$, where p is any prime;
- (ii) $PSL(2, 3^p)$, where p is any odd prime;

A new problem

Study locally graded groups with all subgroups subnormal or soluble.

A *minimal simple group* is a non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups soluble.

Thompson, 1968

Every finite minimal simple group is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (i) $PSL(2, 2^p)$, where p is any prime;
- (ii) $PSL(2, 3^p)$, where p is any odd prime;
- (iii) $PSL(2, p)$, where $p > 3$ is any prime s.t. $p^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$;

A new problem

Study locally graded groups with all subgroups subnormal or soluble.

A *minimal simple group* is a non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups soluble.

Thompson, 1968

Every finite minimal simple group is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (i) $PSL(2, 2^p)$, where p is any prime;
- (ii) $PSL(2, 3^p)$, where p is any odd prime;
- (iii) $PSL(2, p)$, where $p > 3$ is any prime s.t. $p^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$;
- (iv) $PSL(3, 3)$;

A new problem

Study locally graded groups with all subgroups subnormal or soluble.

A *minimal simple group* is a non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups soluble.

Thompson, 1968

Every finite minimal simple group is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (i) $PSL(2, 2^p)$, where p is any prime;
- (ii) $PSL(2, 3^p)$, where p is any odd prime;
- (iii) $PSL(2, p)$, where $p > 3$ is any prime s.t. $p^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$;
- (iv) $PSL(3, 3)$;
- (v) $Sz(2^p)$, where p is any odd prime.

Proposition

Let G be a finite non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups metabelian. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (i) $PSL(2, 2^p)$, where p is any prime;
- (ii) $PSL(2, 3^p)$, where p is any odd prime;

Proposition

Let G be a finite non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups metabelian. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (i) $PSL(2, 2^p)$, where p is any prime;
- (ii) $PSL(2, 3^p)$, where p is any odd prime;
- (iii) $PSL(2, p)$, where $p > 3$ is any prime such that $p^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ and $p^2 - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}$.

Proposition

Let G be a finite non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups metabelian. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (i) $PSL(2, 2^p)$, where p is any prime;
- (ii) $PSL(2, 3^p)$, where p is any odd prime;
- (iii) $PSL(2, p)$, where $p > 3$ is any prime such that $p^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ and $p^2 - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}$.

Every proper subgroup of $PSL(3, 3)$ has derived length at most 5 and $PSL(3, 3)$ contains a subgroup of derived length 5.

Proposition

Let G be a finite non-abelian simple group with all proper subgroups metabelian. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (i) $PSL(2, 2^p)$, where p is any prime;
- (ii) $PSL(2, 3^p)$, where p is any odd prime;
- (iii) $PSL(2, p)$, where $p > 3$ is any prime such that $p^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ and $p^2 - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}$.

Every proper subgroup of $PSL(3, 3)$ has derived length at most 5 and $PSL(3, 3)$ contains a subgroup of derived length 5.

Corollary

Every proper subgroup of a finite minimal simple group has derived length at most 5.

Open question

It is still unknown whether an infinite locally graded group with all proper subgroups soluble is soluble.

Open question

It is still unknown whether an infinite locally graded group with all proper subgroups soluble is soluble.

Let G be an infinite locally graded group with all proper subgroups soluble. Then:

- G is hyperabelian (Franciosi, de Giovanni and Newell, 2000);

Open question

It is still unknown whether an infinite locally graded group with all proper subgroups soluble is soluble.

Let G be an infinite locally graded group with all proper subgroups soluble. Then:

- G is hyperabelian (Franciosi, de Giovanni and Newell, 2000);
- G is locally soluble (Dixon, Evans and Smith, 2007).

Open question

It is still unknown whether an infinite locally graded group with all proper subgroups soluble is soluble.

Let G be an infinite locally graded group with all proper subgroups soluble. Then:

- G is hyperabelian (Franciosi, de Giovanni and Newell, 2000);
- G is locally soluble (Dixon, Evans and Smith, 2007).

Zaicev 1969, Dixon and Evans 1999

Let G be an infinite locally graded group with all subgroups soluble of derived length $\leq d$. Then G is soluble of derived length $\leq d$.

Open question

It is still unknown whether an infinite locally graded group with all proper subgroups soluble is soluble.

Let G be an infinite locally graded group with all proper subgroups soluble. Then:

- G is hyperabelian (Franciosi, de Giovanni and Newell, 2000);
- G is locally soluble (Dixon, Evans and Smith, 2007).

Zaicev 1969, Dixon and Evans 1999

Let G be an infinite locally graded group with all subgroups soluble of derived length $\leq d$. Then G is soluble of derived length $\leq d$.

Zaicev showed that an infinite soluble group of derived length d has a subgroup of derived length d .

Proposition A

Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group with all subgroups subnormal or soluble. Then either

- (i) G is locally soluble,

Proposition A

Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group with all subgroups subnormal or soluble. Then either

- (i) G is locally soluble, or
- (ii) $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group by a finite almost minimal simple group.

An *almost minimal simple groups* fits between a minimal simple group and its automorphism group.

Proposition A

Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group with all subgroups subnormal or soluble. Then either

- (i) G is locally soluble, or
- (ii) $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group by a finite almost minimal simple group.

An *almost minimal simple groups* fits between a minimal simple group and its automorphism group.

Proposition A

Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group with all subgroups subnormal or soluble. Then either

- (i) G is locally soluble, or
- (ii) $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group by a finite almost minimal simple group.

In (ii) one cannot expect that G is an extension of a soluble group by a finite minimal simple group

An *almost minimal simple groups* fits between a minimal simple group and its automorphism group.

Proposition A

Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group with all subgroups subnormal or soluble. Then either

- (i) G is locally soluble, or
- (ii) $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group by a finite almost minimal simple group.

In (ii) one cannot expect that G is an extension of a soluble group by a finite minimal simple group : it suffices to consider the direct product of any abelian group by the symmetric group of degree 5.

Theorem A

Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group and suppose that, for some positive integer d , every subgroup of G is either subnormal or soluble of derived length at most d . Then either

- (i) G is soluble,

Theorem A

Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group and suppose that, for some positive integer d , every subgroup of G is either subnormal or soluble of derived length at most d . Then either

- (i) G is soluble, or
- (ii) $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group of derived length at most d by a finite almost minimal simple group.

Proof of Theorem A:

By Proposition A, G is either locally soluble, or $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group S by a finite almost minimal simple group.

Proof of Theorem A:

By Proposition A, G is either locally soluble, or $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group S by a finite almost minimal simple group.

If S is not soluble of derived length at most d then, $G^{(r)} \leq S$ and G is soluble.

Proof of Theorem A:

By Proposition A, G is either locally soluble, or $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group S by a finite almost minimal simple group.

If S is not soluble of derived length at most d then, $G^{(r)} \leq S$ and G is soluble.

Let G be locally soluble and suppose that it is not soluble.

Proof of Theorem A:

By Proposition A, G is either locally soluble, or $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group S by a finite almost minimal simple group.

If S is not soluble of derived length at most d then, $G^{(r)} \leq S$ and G is soluble.

Let G be locally soluble and suppose that it is not soluble. In according to Smith, we have $G^{(s)} = G^{(s+1)}$ for some $s \geq 0$.

Proof of Theorem A:

By Proposition A, G is either locally soluble, or $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group S by a finite almost minimal simple group.

If S is not soluble of derived length at most d then, $G^{(r)} \leq S$ and G is soluble.

Let G be locally soluble and suppose that it is not soluble. In according to Smith, we have $G^{(s)} = G^{(s+1)}$ for some $s \geq 0$.

Moreover, $G^{(s)}$ is not soluble and every proper subgroup of $G^{(s)}$ is soluble of length at most d .

Proof of Theorem A:

By Proposition A, G is either locally soluble, or $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer r and G is an extension of a soluble group S by a finite almost minimal simple group.

If S is not soluble of derived length at most d then, $G^{(r)} \leq S$ and G is soluble.

Let G be locally soluble and suppose that it is not soluble. In according to Smith, we have $G^{(s)} = G^{(s+1)}$ for some $s \geq 0$.

Moreover, $G^{(s)}$ is not soluble and every proper subgroup of $G^{(s)}$ is soluble of length at most d . Thus $G^{(s)}$ is finite by Zaicev's result, a contradiction.

Proposition B

Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that, for some positive integer n , every non-soluble subgroup of G is subnormal of defect at most n . Then G is locally (soluble-by-finite).

Proposition B

Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that, for some positive integer n , every non-soluble subgroup of G is subnormal of defect at most n . Then G is locally (soluble-by-finite).

Theorem B

Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that, for some positive integers n and d , every subgroup of G is either subnormal of defect at most n or soluble of derived length at most d . Then either

- (i) G is soluble of derived length not exceeding a function depending on n and d ,

Proposition B

Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that, for some positive integer n , every non-soluble subgroup of G is subnormal of defect at most n . Then G is locally (soluble-by-finite).

Theorem B

Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that, for some positive integers n and d , every subgroup of G is either subnormal of defect at most n or soluble of derived length at most d . Then either

- (i) G is soluble of derived length not exceeding a function depending on n and d , or
- (ii) $G^{(r)}$ is finite for some integer $r = r(n)$ and G is an extension of a soluble group of derived length at most d by a finite almost minimal simple group.